DEMOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES ON CAREGIVER BURDEN, PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND HOPELESSNESS AMONG CAREGIVERS OF PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS

Iram Batool ¹, Ghazala Malik², Iram Manzoor[™]

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To explore the demographic differences on caregiver burden, hopelessness, and psychological distress among caregivers of psychiatric patients.

METHODS: This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad and Nishtar Hospital Multan, Pakistan in eight months from 10th April 2019 to 20th November 2019. Purposive sampling technique was used to include 240 caregivers of different age of psychiatric patients (with different duration of illness and type of illness) which were assessed on Burden Scale for Family Caregivers, Kessler Psychological Distress Scale and Beck Hopelessness Scale.

RESULTS: Out of 240 participants, 124 (51.6%) were females and 116 (48.3%) were males, ranging in age from 18 to 45 years. Results disclosed that age group 36-45 years showed significant mean difference on psychological distress and age group 18-35 years showed significant difference on Hopelessness at p < 0.01. While, caregivers dealing with patients who have illness for more than 20 years have significant mean difference on psychological distress and hopelessness at p < 0.05. Caregivers dealing with patients who have less than 5 years of illness have significant mean difference on caregiver burden at p < 0.001, and caregivers of patients with schizophrenia have significant mean difference with substance abuse, mood disorder and mental retardation on psychological distress and hopelessness at p < 0.05.

CONCLUSION: Older adults have higher psychological distress while, young adults have higher level of hopelessness. Caregivers of prolonged mental illness have higher psychological distress and caregiver burden. Caregivers of schizophrenic patients have higher level of psychological distress and hopelessness.

KEY WORDS: Care giver burden (Non-MeSH); Hopelessness (Non-MeSH); Psychological Distress (MeSH); Psychiatric patients (Non-MeSH).

THIS ARTICLE MAY BE CITED AS: Batool I, Malik G, Manzoor I. Demographic differences on caregiver burden, psychological distress and hopelessness among caregivers of psychiatric patients. Khyber Med Univ J 2021;13(4):227-31. https://doi.org/10.35845/kmuj.2021.20469.

INTRODUCTION

aregiver burden is usually the outcome from providing care to the patients with chronic illness. It can occur in any of the 43.5 million individuals providing support to patients with different psychiatric illnesses. Explicitly they announced serious dimensions of depression, anxiety and emotional distress while dealing with the patients having long course of illness.¹

Previously it was reported that caregiver burden of the caregivers of psychiatric patients is assessed only 14% around the globe.² Psychiatric disorders have significant negative outcomes on the personal satisfaction of patients and their caregivers or companions, especially in low-and middle-income individuals. Caregiver burden has been portrayed as the general physical, emotional, and economic expenses of thinking about a relative.³ During illness, caregivers encounter stress, guilt, sleeplessness, and anxiety. In the previous decades, most scientists and psychological wellbeing experts have focused a lot of their time and endeavors on individuals living with psychiatric disorders with specific symptomatology. There is presently the

1:	Departme	nt of	f Ap	plied	Psyc	hology,
	Bahauddin	Zaka	riyya	Unive	rsity,	Multan,
	Pakistan.					

 Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS), Islamabad, Pakistan. Email⊠: irammanzoor45@gmail.com Cell # +92-303-0709044 Date Submitted: June 17, 2020 Date Revised: December 20, 2021 Date Accepted: December 24, 2021

need to concentrate on caregivers of patients with psychiatric patients too, particularly in wellbeing frameworks for overseeing emotional wellness of patients and their caregivers who are facing inadequate environment.⁴ Inaccessibility of wellbeing frameworks influences personal satisfaction. In this way, the psychological well-being of caregivers tends to reduce among individuals with psychiatric problems and worsening caregiver burden.⁵

Psychological distress is a general term that is utilized to portray unpleasant sentiments or feelings that affect your degree of work.6 The administration of psychological distress is a significant thing to measure concerning psychological issues that may intensify the indications of the illness and increment of social insurance costs.⁷ In any case, psychiatric patients habitually report significant levels of distress and selfdestructive thoughts (evaluated rate: 7%25%), and they demonstrate a higher risk of suicidal ideation than the overall public. Second, anxiety and depression influence the personal satisfaction of oncologic psychiatric patients in a few spaces.⁸ Elevated levels of depression and anxiety during the psychiatric procedure detrimentally affect prevalence rates of caregiver burden.⁹

A feeling of hopelessness appears to prompt progressively negative assessments of new circumstances and less viable adapting new techniques; in this manner, the recognition is that one won't achieve anything meaningful. To date, very few examinations have

Variables	Sources of variation	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig
	Between Groups	760.45	2	380.22	3.53	.031*
Psychological distress	Within Groups	25487.34	237	107.54	3.33	.031*
	Total	26247.79	239			
	Between Groups	828.34	2	414.17	11.96	.000***
Hopelessness	Within Groups	8204.30	237	34.61	11.90	.000
	Total	9032.65	239			

TABLE I: ONE WAY ANOVA FOR DIFFERENCES OF CAREGIVERS WITH DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND HOPELESSNESS (N=240)

researched the connection between caregiver burden and hopelessness." An enormous group of research substantiates the impacts that psychological problems can have on the emotional, social, and physical wellbeing of the family such as parents. Researches have uncovered the fact that parents of cancer patients report negative impacts on their wellbeing, for example, anxiety, depression, exhaustion, hopelessness, guilt, fear, restlessness, social disengagement, and burnout. It has been expressed that patients with disorders and their family guardians experience comparable degrees of hopelessness.¹¹⁻¹³

The purpose of the current study was to explore the caregiver burden with psychological distress and the mediating role of hopelessness for different demographic properties (age, duration of illness, and type of illness) among caregivers of psychiatric patients. It was aimed to explore the demographic differences (age, duration of illness, type of illness) on care giver burden, hopelessness and psychological distress among caregivers of psychiatric patients.

METHODS

This descriptive cross-sectional study was done at Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS) Islamabad and Nishtar Hospital Multan, Pakistan. There were 240 participants, selected through purposive sampling technique which comprises of caregivers of psychiatric patients including Schizophrenia, mood disorder, substance abuse and mental retardation. Both male and female caregivers participated in this research which were 124 female (51.6%) and 116 male (48.3%) age ranging between 18 to 45 years only caregivers of psychiatric patients were included and caregivers related to some other kind of illness we excluded from the study. Data was collected from PIMS Islamabad and Nishtar Hospital Multan Pakistan in eight months from 10th April 2019 to 20th November 2019. Permission of data collection was granted from both hospitals prior to conducting the study. The data was gathered from the caregivers of psychiatric patients who bring them for follow up sessions in Out Patient Department. Informed consent was given to the participants and get that signed. Demographic variables were asked such as age, gender, duration of illness of the patients and type of illness of the patients. Questionnaire were filled to measure care giver burden, hopelessness and psychological distress among caregivers of psychiatric patients.

Burden Scale for Family Caregivers was used to measure care giver burden comprising of 28 statements for assessment of caregivers perceived subjective burden for looking after of persistently-ill family member with strong reliability (.80). Four response categories ranging from strongly agree =0 to strongly disagree =3 are used for each statement. Higher score on this tool indicates the higher degree of burden.¹⁴

Kessler psychological distress scale was used to assess psychological distress. It

CAREGIVERS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND HOPELESSNESS (N=240)										
Age groups		Mean difference	6	Sig	95%CI					
			31	Sig	LL	UL				
18-35	36-45	2.207	1.62	.363	-1.6171	6.0315				
18-35	46-55	-2.237	1.63	.358	-6.0881	1.6132				
36-45	46-55	-4.444*	1.67	.023	-8.3869	5025				
18-35	36-45	3.181*	.919	.002	1.0115	5.3510				
18-35	46-55	4.337*	.926	.000	2.1531	6.5225				
36-45	46-55	1.156	.948	.443	-1.0801	3.3932				
	Age g 18-35 18-35 36-45 18-35 18-35	Age groups 18-35 36-45 18-35 46-55 36-45 46-55 18-35 36-45 18-35 36-45 18-35 36-45 18-35 36-45	Age groups Mean difference 18-35 36-45 2.207 18-35 46-55 -2.237 36-45 46-55 -4.444* 18-35 36-45 3.181* 18-35 46-55 4.337*	Age groups Mean difference St 18-35 36-45 2.207 1.62 18-35 46-55 -2.237 1.63 36-45 46-55 -4.444* 1.67 18-35 36-45 3.181* .919 18-35 46-55 4.337* .926	Age groups Mean difference St Sig 18-35 36-45 2.207 1.62 .363 18-35 46-55 -2.237 1.63 .358 36-45 46-55 -4.444* 1.67 .023 18-35 36-45 3.181* .919 .002 18-35 46-55 4.337* .926 .000	Mean difference St Sig 959 18-35 36-45 2.207 1.62 .363 -1.6171 18-35 46-55 -2.237 1.63 .358 -6.0881 36-45 46-55 -4.444* 1.67 .023 -8.3869 18-35 36-45 3.181* .919 .002 1.0115 18-35 46-55 4.337* .926 .000 2.1531				

TABLE II: POST HOC ANALYSIS FOR DIFFERENCES IN AGE GROUPS OF CAREGIVERS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND HOPELESSNESS (N=240)

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; LL=Lower Limit; UL=Upper Limit

is a five-point Likert scale ranging from I = none of the time through to 5 = all of the time score 10-19 depicts the wellness, while 30-50 indicate severe disorder and has good reliability i.e., 0.89.¹⁵

Beck Hopelessness Scale was used to analyze hopelessness. Reliability of the scale was good i.e., 0.81 and its asses the answer through true and false for each statement score of this scale range from 0 to 20 and higher score indicate higher level of hopelessness.¹⁶

RESULTS

This study was conducted on 240 caregivers of psychiatric patients, which included 116 (48.3%) males and 124 (51.6%) female age ranging between 18 to 45 years, were included as the sample of the study. Differential statistical Analyses Version 18 were applied by SPSS to investigate the results of Oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple analysis of variance (post hoc).

Table I showed One way ANOVA for differences in age groups of caregivers on psychological distress and hopelessness. Results revealed that there is significant mean difference among different age groups of caregivers on psychological distress at p < .05 and hopelessness at p < .000.

Table II shows Post hoc Analysis for differences in age groups of caregivers on psychological distress and hopelessness. Results indicated that age group 36-45 years showed significant mean difference with age group 46-55 years on psychological distress and age group 18-35 showed significant mean difference with age groups 36-45 years and 46-55 years on hopelessness at p<0.01.

Table III shows One way ANOVA for duration of illness on psychological distress, hopelessness and care giver burden. Results indicated that there were significant differences between duration of illness on psychological distress at p<.001, hopelessness at p<.001 and care giver burden at p<.001.

Table IV shows post hoc analysis for differences among caregivers in duration of illness on psychological distress, hopelessness, and care giver burden. Results of post hoc analysis revealed that caregivers dealing with individuals who have illness above 20 years have

Variables	SS	DF	MS	F	Sig	
	Between Groups	3648.95	3	1216.31	12.70	.000
Psychological distress	Within Groups	22598.84	236	95.75	12.70	
	Total	26247.79	239			
	Between Groups	820.04	3	273.34	7.85	.000
Hopelessness	Within Groups	8212.60	236	34.79	7.05	
	Total	9032.65	239			
	Between Groups	7421.15	3	2473.71	34.24	.000
Caregiver burden	Within Groups	17047.73	236	72.23	34.24	.000
	Total	24468.89	239			

TABLE III: ONE WAY ANOVA FOR DURATION OF ILLNESS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL
DISTRESS, HOPELESSNESS AND CARE GIVER BURDEN (N=240)

significant mean difference with the course of illness of less than 5 years, 5-10 years and 11-20 years on psychological distress and hopelessness at p < 0.05 and on the other hand caregivers dealing with less than 5 years of illness have significant mean difference with 11-20 years and above 20 years while 5-10 years have significant mean difference with above 20 years on caregiver burden at p < 0.001.

Table V shows One way ANOVA for types of patients on psychological distress and hopelessness. Results indicated that there were significant differences between groups of caregivers of patients with schizophrenia, mood disorders, substance abuse and mental retardation on psychological distress at p<.001 and hopelessness at p<.001.

Table VI shows post hoc analysis for differences in types of patients with schizophrenia, mood disorders, substance abuse and mental retardation on psychological distress, hopelessness, and care giver burden. Results of post hoc analysis revealed that caregivers of patients with schizophrenia have significant mean difference with substance abuse, mood disorder and mental retardation with psychological distress at p < 0.05. On the other hand, mood disorder has significant mean difference with schizophrenia, substance abuse and mental retardation at p < 0.05.

DISCUSSION

Present study was meant to explore the demographic differences for caregiver burden, psychological distress, and hopelessness among caregivers of psychiatric patients. Which concluded that elderly people have higher level of psychological distress while, younger have higher level of hopelessness. And those who are dealing with patients of prolonged illness have higher psychological distress and caregiver burden. On the other hand, caregivers of schizophrenic patients have higher level of psychological distress and hopelessness.

It was hypothesized that there will be significant mean differences among

caregivers of different age groups on psychological distress and hopelessness thus current hypothesis of the present study was also accepted. Results of post hoc analysis revealed that caregivers of age group 36-45 years showed significant difference with age group 46-55 years on psychological distress and age group 18-35 years showed significant difference with age groups 36-45 years & 46-55 years on hopelessness at p < 0.001. The results were in conformity with other studies that were conducted in Indonesia and Bali, which found that there was positive relationship of psychological distress with age of caregivers of schizophrenic patients.17

Another assumption of the study was meant to measure the differences on care giver burden, psychological distress and hopelessness or duration of illness of patients. Results of post hoc analysis revealed that caregivers dealing with individuals who have prolonged illness more than 20 years, have significant difference with the course of illness of less than 5 years, 5-10 years and 11-20 years on psychological distress and hopelessness at p<0.05 and on the other hand caregivers dealing with less than 5 years of illness have significant difference with 11-20 years and above 20 years while 5-10 years have significant difference with above 20 years on caregiver burden at p<0.001. These findings are parallel to the outcomes of a previous studies.¹⁸⁻¹⁹

TABLE IV: POST HOC ANALYSIS FOR DIFFERENCES AMONG CAREGIVERS IN DURATION OF ILLNESS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS, HOPELESSNESS AND CARE GIVER BURDEN (N=240)

Duration	ofillnoss		Age group Mean			95% CI		
Duration of illness (years)		(years)	8.8.4		Sig	LL	UL	
		5-10	.760	1.825	.976	-3.96	5.482	
	Less than 5	11-20	-1.594	1.769	.804	-6.17	2.984	
Psychological		above 20	-9.166*	1.817	.000	-13.86	-4.464	
distress	F 10	11-20	-2.354	1.761	.540	-6.91	2.202	
	5-10	above 20	-9.926*	1.809	.000	-14.60	-5.244	
	11-20	above 20	-7.572*	1.753	.000	-12.10	-3.036	
	Less than 5	5-10	1.930	1.100	.298	916	4.777	
		11-20	1.495	1.066	.499	-1.26	4.255	
		above 20	5.134*	1.095	.000	2.29	7.969	
Hopelessness	5-10	11-20	435	1.061	.977	-3.18	2.311	
		above 20	3.203*	1.090	.019	.38	6.026	
	11-20	above 20	3.639*	1.056	.004	.90	6.373	
	Less than 5	5-10	-3.805	1.585	.080	-7.90	.295	
		11-20	-7.418*	1.536	.000	-11.39	-3.44	
Course in the second of the		above 20	-15.279*	1.578	.000	-19.36	-11.19	
Caregiver burden	E 10	11-20	-3.612	1.529	.087	-7.57	.345	
	5-10	above 20	-11.473*	1.571	.000	-15.53	-7.407	
	11-20	above 20	-7.860*	1.522	.000	-11.80	-3.920	

		· ·			
Variables			MS	F	Sig
Between Groups	2445.71	3	815.23	8.08	.000
Within Groups	23802.08	236	100.85		
Total	26247.79	239			
Between Groups	881.48	3	293.82	8.50	.000
Within Groups	8151.16	236	34.53		
Total	9032.65	239			
	Within Groups Total Between Groups Within Groups	Within Groups 23802.08 Total 26247.79 Between Groups 881.48 Within Groups 8151.16	Between Groups 2445.71 3 Within Groups 23802.08 236 Total 26247.79 239 Between Groups 881.48 3 Within Groups 8151.16 236	Between Groups 2445.71 3 815.23 Within Groups 23802.08 236 100.85 Total 26247.79 239 Between Groups 881.48 3 293.82 Within Groups 8151.16 236 34.53	Between Groups 2445.71 3 815.23 8.08 Within Groups 23802.08 236 100.85 100.85 Total 26247.79 239 - - Between Groups 881.48 3 293.82 8.50 Within Groups 8151.16 236 34.53 -

TABLE V: ONE WAY ANOVA FOR TYPES OF PATIENTS ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND HOPELESSNESS (N=240)

It was hypothesized that there will be differences among caregivers of patients with schizophrenia, substance users, mood disorder and mental retardation on hopelessness and psychological distress. Results of post hoc analysis revealed that caregivers of patients with schizophrenia have significant difference with substance abuse, mood disorder and mental retardation with psychological distress at p < 0.05. On the other hand, mood disorder has significant difference with schizophrenia, substance abuse and mental retardation at p < 0.05. Other study discovered the similar findings for instance in a study outcome revealed that being a caregivers of a critically psychologically ailing family member, (schizophrenic sufferer) caregivers had to face both types of difficulties in the form of an emotional impact such as feelings of sadness, hopelessness, nervousness, and discomfort in addition to burden related to helping in the daily activities of dependent patient.20

Limitations and Suggestions

The study has been done with the sample of caregivers of only four types of psychiatric patients suffering from Schizophrenia, mood disorder, substance abuse and mental retardation, a further study should be conducted on family caregivers of other types of psychiatric patients so that the findings can be more generalized. The sample for this study was selected only from government Institute of mental health and caregivers from semi -government and private mental health institutions were overlooked. This study should be done with the sample draw from semi government and private mental health hospitals from different cities of Pakistan. Moreover, in relation to present study further study should include more variables such as caregivers' perceived help and hours of care providing per day as well as care provider's economic status should be added and a comparison among caregivers should be done on the basis of newly added variables. The results of the study were perhaps more related to Pakistani population and due to the culture related deviations there is limited scope for generalization the results in other countries.

CONCLUSION

Older adults have higher psychological distress while, young adults have higher level of hopelessness. Caregivers of individuals having prolonged mental illness have higher psychological distress and caregiver burden. Caregivers of schizophrenic patients have higher level of psychological distress and hopelessness.

REFERENCES

- Ampalam P, Gunturu S, Padma V. A comparative study of caregiver burden in psychiatric illness and chronic medical illness. Indian J Psychiatry 2012 (3):239-43. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.102423.
- Möller-Leimkühler AM, Obermeier M. Predicting caregiver burden in first admission psychiatric patients. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2008 (7):406-13. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00406-008-0818-7.
- Pearson J, Verma S, Nellett C. Elderly psychiatric patient status and caregiver perceptions as predictors of caregiver burden. Gerontologist 1988 (1):79-83. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/geront/28.1.79.
- Ohaeri JU. Caregiver burden and psychotic patients' perception of social support in a Nigerian setting. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2001 (2):86-93. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s001270050294.
- Möller-Leimkühler AM, Wiesheu A. Caregiver burden in chronic mental illness: the role of patient and caregiver characteristics. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2012 (2):157-66. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00406-011-0215-5.
- Schene AH, Tessler RC, Gamache GM. Instruments measuring family or caregiver burden in severe mental illness. Soc Psychiatry

TABLE VI: POST HOC ANALYSIS FOR DIFFERENCES IN TYPES OF PATIENTS WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA, MOOD DISORDERS, SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL RETARDATION ON PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS, HOPELESSNESS AND CARE GIVER BURDEN (N=240)

Patients' groups			Mean difference	St	Sia	95%CI	
Fatients grou	5		Mean difference	51	Sig	LL	UL
		Substance Abuse	6.850*	1.833	.001	2.1058	11.5942
	Schizophrenia	Mood Disorder	7.650*	1.833	.000	2.9058	12.3942
Psychological		Mental Retardation	7.516*	1.833	.000	2.7725	12.2608
Distress	Substance abuse	Mood Disorder	.800	1.833	.972	-3.9442	5.5442
		Mental Retardation	.666	1.833	.984	-4.0775	5.4108
	Mood Disorder	Mental Retardation	133	1.833	1.000	-4.8775	4.6108
	Schizophrenia	Substance Abuse	.666	1.072	.925	-2.1096	3.4429
		Mood Disorder	-4.11667*	1.072	.001	-6.8929	-1.3404
		Mental Retardation	.15000	1.072	.999	-2.6263	2.9263
Hopelessness	Substance abuse	Mood Disorder	-4.78333*	1.072	.000	-7.5596	-2.0071
		Mental Retardation	51667	1.072	.963	-3.2929	2.2596
	Mood Disorder	Mental Retardation	4.26667*	1.072	.001	I.4904	7.0429

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; LL=Lower Limit; UL=Upper Limit

Psychiatr Epidemiol 1994 (5):228-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00796381.

- Nielsen MK, Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Bro F, Guldin MB. Psychological distress, health, and socio-economic factors in caregivers of terminally ill patients: a nationwide populationbased cohort study. Support Care Cancer 2016 (7):3057-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-016-3120-7.
- Stanley S, Balakrishnan S, Ilangovan S. Psychological distress, perceived burden and quality of life in caregivers of persons with schizophrenia. J. Mental Health 2017 (2):134-41. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09638237.2016.1276537.
- Ukpong D. Burden and psychological distress among Nigerian family caregivers of schizophrenic patients: the role of positive and negative symptoms. Turk Psikiyatri Derg 2012 (1):40-5.
- 10. Romaniello C, Farinelli M, Matera N, Bertoletti E, Pedone V, Northoff G. Anxious attachment style and hopelessness as predictors of burden in caregivers of patients with disorders of consciousness: A pilot study. Brain Injury 2015 (4):466-72. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2 014.989402.
- 11. Tokem Y, Ozcelik H, Cicik A. Examination of the relationship

between hopelessness levels and coping strategies among the family caregivers of patients with cancer. Cancer Nurs 2015 (4):E28-34. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0000 00000000189.

- 12. Aloba O, Ajao O, Alimi T, Esan O. Psychometric properties and correlates of the beck hopelessness scale in family caregivers of Nigerian patients with psychiatric disorders in Southwestern Nigeria. J Neurosci Rural Pract 2016 (S 01):S018-25. https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-3147.196434.
- 13. Rhee YS, Yun YH, Park S, Shin DO, Lee KM, Yoo HJ, et. al. Depression in family caregivers of cancer patients: the feeling of burden as a predictor of depression. J Clin Oncol 2008 (36):5890-95. https://doi.org/ 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.3957.
- 14. Graessel E, Berth H, Lichte T, Grau H. Subjective caregiver burden: validity of the 10-item short version of the Burden Scale for Family Caregivers BSFC-s. BMC Geriatr 2014 (1):23.1-9. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2318-14-23.
- 15. Kessler RC, Mroczek DK. Final versions of our non-specific psychological distress scale. University of Michigan. Ann Arbor: Survey Research Center of the Institute for Social Research 1994.
- 16. Beck AT, Brown G, Berchick RJ,

Stewart BL, Steer RA. Relationship between hopelessness and ultimate suicide: A replication with psychiatric outpatients. Am J Psychiatry 1990 Feb;147(2):190-5. https://doi.org/ 10.1176/ajp.147.2.190.

- 17. Gratao ACM, Vendrúscolo TRP, da Silva Talmelli LF, Figueiredo LC, Santos JLF, et al. Burden and the emotional distress in caregivers of elderly individuals. Texto e Contexto Enfermagem 2012;21(2):304-12. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1590/S0104 -07072012000200007.
- 18. Gul U, Batool I, Bajwa RS, Emtyaz E. Cognitive behavior avoidance, care giver burden and psychological wellbeing as a predictor of temporal satisfaction among mothers of slow learners. Pak J Soc Sci 2019 (04): 1641-48.
- 19. Raj EA, Shiri S, Jangam KV. Subjective burden, psychological distress, and perceived social support among caregivers of persons with schizophrenia. Indian J Soc Psychiatry 2016;32(1):42-9.
- 20. Schrag A, Hovris A, Morley D, Quinn N, Jahanshahi M. Caregiver-burden in Parkinson's disease is closely associated with psychiatric symptoms, falls, and disability. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2006 (1):35-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis. 2005.06.011.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

IB: Conception and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, critical review, approval of the final version to be published

GM: Analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published

IM: Acquisition of data, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST Authors declared no conflict of interest GRANT SUPPORT AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE Authors have declared no specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 2.0 Generic License.

KMUJ 2021, Vol. 13 No.4