IMPACT OF SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS ON CIGARETTE SMOKING IN PAKISTANI MALES

Jamal Abdul Nasir^{I⊠}, Muhammad Imran², Abid Ali Chohan³, Syed Arif Ahmed Zaidi⁴

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To determine the association of socioeconomic factors with cigarette smoking and their relative impact on it in male gender of Pakistan

METHODS: This study was based on Pakistan demographic and health survey data set 2012-13. Bivariate and binary logistic regression analysis has been carried out to evaluate the impact of cigarette smoking in male population of Pakistan.

RESULTS: More than two third (71.68%) respondents never smoked cigarette. Chewing tobacco was commonly (18.6%) used by the respondents. High proportion (34.1%) of respondents consumed eighteen and over cigarettes in 24 hours, whereas the 18% of the respondents consumed twelve to seventeen cigarettes. Age, education, place of residence by province, media access and wealth index were found to be significant with respect to cigarette smoking. Inverse association existed between education and smoking .i.e. respondents with no education had smoked 1.604 times more cigarettes as compared to respondents with higher level of education. The respondents reading newspaper and access to radio had less likely to smoke cigarettes [OR=0.931 and OR=0.80] respectively compared to their counterpart having no access to media. Ever married men belonged to Baluchistan province smoked cigarettes 1.576 times more than their counterparts that lived in GB.

CONCLUSION: Age, education, place of residence by province, media access particularly newspaper and radio and wealth index were found having statistically significant impact on cigarette smoking in Pakistani male gender. These statistical outcomes will serve as guide in smoking control and management of cigarette smoking.

KEY WORDS: Cigarette Smoking (MeSH); Tobacco Smoking (MeSH); Male (MeSH); Pakistan (MeSH); Age Groups (MeSH); Education (MeSH).

THIS ARTICLE MAY BE CITED AS: Nasir JA, Imran M, Chohan AA, Zaidi SAA. Impact of sociodemographic factors on cigarette smoking in Pakistani males. Khyber Med Univ J 2015;7(3): 109-114.

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco is one of the major public health threat particularly in developing countries, Approximately one person dies every six seconds due to tobacco, accounting for one in 10 adult deaths. More than one billion (80%) smokers globally live in low- and middle-income

countries, where the mortality and morbidity burden due to tobacco is much higher. The prevalence of smoking in most high income countries has declined in the past². From medical prospective, smoking has direct health harms and diseases of nearly all organs of the body seem connected to cigarette smoking³.

- Director Sub Campus Rahim Yar Khan (RYK) & Assistant Professor of Statistics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan
- Email: njamal76@hotmail.com
- Department of Statistics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan
- Department of Statistics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan
- Department of community medicine, Quaid-e-Azam Medical College Bahawalpur

Date Submitted: May 09, 2015
Date Last Revised: September 20, 2015
Date Accepted: September 21, 2015

Avoiding smoking prolongs life as well playing significant role in decreasing the threat of heart related problems and death4. There are several other direct harm to health from cigarette smoking like lung cancer, heart related problem and respiratory complications3, Cigarettes smoking is also responsible in the reduction of fertility as well as erectile dysfunction in males⁵. It may contribute to development of diabetes and raise both the risk and the severity of rheumatoid arthritis3. It causes the age-related macular degeneration³ and a major cause of chronic coughing, increased phlegm, emphysema and bronchitis. Smoking intensifies asthma in adults and also damages the immune system³. Smokers are more prone to influenza and likely to experience severe symptoms when they get the flu6. It is common understanding among smokers that cigarettes smoking relieve stress, epidemiologic and clinical studies have reported a strong association between smoking and depression.7,8 Smoking only appears to decrease tension because it declines the irritability and stress caused by the underlying nicotine addiction9.

Several studies revealed that socio-demographic factors are consistently associated with cigarette smoking namely male sex, younger age, lower socio economic status, and lower educational background are directly linked with smoking prevalence. 10-14 It is well established that rise in awareness level prevent the initiation of tobacco use and reduce its prevalence among youth¹⁵. As there were no previous studies conducted locally, the present study was aimed at to determine the impact of sociodemographic factors on tobacco smoking in Pakistan, specifically in male population.

METHODS

Data source: So far three demographic health surveys (1990-19, 2006-07 and 202-13) have been conducted as part of the MEASURE DHS international series. The national institute of population studies done these survey with the technical support from ICF International and Pakistan bureau of statistics and the USAID supported the financially. The most recent data set for ever married men with sample size 3134 was used for this study.

Bivariate analysis is performed for male respondents with the objective to determine the socioeconomic characteristics that have potential influence on cigarette smoking. Pearson's chi- square test of independence was performed to evaluate the association between dependent and independent variable. To understand the functional relationship of variables binary logistic regression analysis was carried out16,17. The dependent variable in our study was cigarette smoking while independent variables were place of residence (urban, rural), place of residence by province (Punjab, Sindh, KPK, Baluchistan and Gilgit Baltistan [GB]), educational level (Illiterate, primary, secondary and higher), media exposure (read newspaper, listen radio and watch TV), wealth index (poorest, poorer, middle, richer and richest) and respondents occupation (working and not working). The variables found to be insignificant in bivariate analysis were excluded in binary logistic analysis.

TABLE I: NUMBER OF CIGARETTES CONSUME BY THE RESPONDENTS IN LAST 24 HOURS

Frequency of cigarettes consumed	%age
0-5	18.6
6-11	29.3
12-17	18.0
18 and over	34.1

TABLE II: CROSS TABULATION OF OUTCOME VARIABLE VERSUS EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

Smokers cigarettes		Ever married men		
Covariate	Response	No	Yes	P-value
Age (years)	15-19	89.7%	10.3%	0.000
	20-24	82.1%	17.9%	
	25-29	77.7%	22.3%	
	30-34	70.7%	29.3%	
	35-39	73.0%	27.0%	
	40-44	66.0%	34.0%	
	45-49	67.1%	32.9%	
Place of residence	Punjab	68.9%	31.1%]
by region	Sindh	76.8%	23.2%	
	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	78.9%	21.1%	0.000
	Baluchistan	62.4%	37.6%	1
	Gilgit Baltistan	73.2%	26.8%	
Residence	Urban	71.7%	28.3%	0.983
	Rural	71.7%	28.3%	
Educational level	Illiterate	65.8%	34.2%	0.000
	Primary	71.3%	28.7%	
	Secondary	71.9%	28.1%	
	Higher	78.3%	21.7%	
Read newspaper	No	68.6%	31.4%	0.000
	Yes	74.2%	25.8%	0.000
Listen radio	No	73.1%	26.9%	
	Yes	69.1%	30.9%	0.018
Listen TV	No	74.4%	25.6%	
	Yes	71.1%	28.9%	
Wealth quintile	Poorest	71.9%	28.1%	
	Poorer	69.0%	31.0%	0.000
	Middle	69.5%	30.5%	
	Richer	68.3%	31.7%	
	Richest	77.8%	22.2%	
Respondent	No working	77.5%	22.5%	0.214
occupation	Working	71.5%	28.5%	

III0 KMUJ 2015, Vol. 7 No. 3

TABLE III: BINARY LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS
ABOUT SMOKING

	Smoke ci	Smoke cigarettes	
Variables	Category	Ever married men	
Age in years (ref 45-49)	15-19	0.229	
	20-24	0.451***	
	25-29	0.584***	
	30-34	0.882	
	35-39	0.78	
	40-44	1.035	
Education level (ref Higher)	illiterate	1.604**	
	primary	1.36*	
	secondary	1.362**	
Read Newspaper(ref no)	Yes	0.931*	
Has Radio(ref no)	Yes	0.80*	
Place of residence by region	Punjab	1.27	
(ref GB)	Sindh	0.928	
	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	0.749*	
	Baluchistan	1.576**	
Wealth index (ref Richest)	Poorest	1.138	
	Poorer	1.403*	
	Middle	1.396*	
	Richer	1.525***	

Key: values represent odds ratio; ref implies reference category; ****p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05

RESULTS

The maximum (20.3%) and the minimum (1%) respondents fell in age group 30-34 and 15-19 respectively, while almost same proportion (18%) of respondents were found in age range of 35-39 and upper age groups. The percentage of rural (51.5%) respondents are higher compared to urban (48.5%). Punjab has a higher proportion of respondents while about quarter (24.2%) of the respondents was from Sindh. Respondents with secondary level of education were in a greater proportion (32%) while about more than a quarter (27.1%) of the respondents were illiterate.

Various questions were asked from the respondents about the use of tobacco, High proportion (55.1%) of the respondent's smoked nothing. Whereas, 18.6% of the respondents used chewing tobacco. More than two third (71.68%) of the respondents never smoked cigarette and only 28.32% ever married men smoke cigarette.

Percentage distribution of the total number of cigarettes smoked in last twenty four hour is demonstrated in Table I.

Bivariate analysis:

Under the bivariate analysis the findings revealed that the early age groups of the respondents did not smoke cigarettes i.e. 89.7% of the ever married men with age group 15-19 years old did not smoke. As age increased, the proportions of smoker also increased. Figures related to place of residence by urban rural was found insignificant

(p=0.980) while figures related to the place of residence by province found to be significant (p=0.980). Higher number of respondents smoking cigarettes lived in Baluchistan (37.6%) followed by Punjab, GB, Sindh and KPK. Educational status found to be positively associated with smoking. Newspaper and radio were found to be significant as well as wealth index. Whereas the smoking and occupation of ever married men were not significantly related. The detail explanations of bivariate analysis are illustrated in Table II.

Binary logistic regression:

Factors along with odds ratio are showed in Table III, model revealed that the early age groups (20-24 and 25-29) was less likely to smoke [OR=0.451,0.584] as compared to upper age groups. The places of residence by region were found to be significantly related to cigarette smoking. Model revealed that the ever married men resident of Baluchistan smoked cigarettes 1.576 times more than their counterparts who lived in GB. Education and smoking had inverse relationship. Ever married men with no education had smoked 1.604 times more as compared to higher level of education. The respondents reading newspaper and access to radio had less likely to smoke cigarettes [OR=0.93 I and OR=0.80] respectively compared to their counterpart having no access to media. Poorer, middle and richer had smoked cigarettes 1.403, 1.396 and 1.525 times more than their counterpart richest respectively.

DISCUSSION

This study found out that more than two third (71.68%) of the respondents never smoked cigarette. Chewing tobacco was commonly (18.6%) used. Number of cigarettes consumed by the respondents in last 24 hours varied high

KMUJ 2015, Vol. 7 No. 3

proportion (34.1%) of the respondents consumed eighteen and over cigarettes, whereas the 18% of the respondents consumed twelve to seventeen cigarettes. The association of smoking was well documented in this study, the findings was consistent with the previously study conducted by the National Health Survey of Pakistan¹⁸.

The proportion of cigarette smoker's increase as age increased in our findings. Historical study showed that the use of tobacco smoking increases with an increase in age, particularly in rural areas of Pakistan. ^{18,19} The identical results yielded in Sindhi males from rural areas²⁰. It is well established that cigarette smoking varies by location and geographical area of residence. ²¹ Smoking trend between both gender increases at the rate of 1.26 per decade in Northern areas of Pakistan. ²²

Among low and middle income countries, Ethiopia had lowest prevalence rate of 7.4% and Latvia had the highest (65%).23 The prevalence of smoking among adolescence decreased between 2002 to 2010 in some European countries, namely Germany, Netherland, Belgium and France and increases in Croatia, but remained constant for Italy and Hungary.24 The smoking trend is increasing in some Asian countries.²⁵⁻²⁸ However, in Kuwait, the prevalence is highest at early adult ages. More than half of them quit smoking habit till reaching at 29 years of age.29 The prevalence of smoking is highest for young adults than older ones in Australia, U.S and Korea.30

Among provinces, the respondents from Baluchistan are more likely to smoke in our findings; the reason might be the province has low status in term of health, education gender equality indicators, economic development and physical status comparing with provinces and Pakistan taken as whole.

Previous study¹⁸ showed much variation among different ethnic groups in Pakistan. The prevalence rates of smoking were highest in Sandhi's. However Pashtuns were fond of chewing/snuff tobacco rather than cigarette smoke.

The importance of education is acknowledged globally, better educated individuals indeed to have a better health and a lower risk of mortality.31 Our findings revealed that inverse association exist between educational attachment and smoking. Higher educational attainment increases the probability of smoking cessation.³² Pakistan has poor literacy rate²⁰; nearly half of the males were illiterate³³. A study showed in Rawalpindi a major city of Pakistan, education reduce the smoking habit.34 Identical results yielded by National Health Survey of Pakistan. 18 In Dhaka, people with low education more likely to smoke.35

Along with education, the mass media coverage become an important tools for stopping the smoking behaviour particularly television.^{2,36} The newspaper coverage sometimes promote the smoke free bars and restaurants.37 Higher educated groups were more effected through mass media campaign than lower educated groups.38 The U.S based tobacco industry target on young people because they can influence them smoothly.39 Almost one fourth of urban Nepali people saw cigarette advertisement frequently. 40 The chance of adopting the smoking habit was much higher among these people. The Indian men was found more addictive who watched television daily41.

The increase in the price of cigarette with media campaign significantly reduced the smoking intensity in Mauritius and Australia. 42,43 The campaign may have short term influence due to parallel marketing by tobacco industry 44, which neutralize the effort of quitting. Tobacco companies spend tens of billions of dollars each year on tobacco advertis-

ing, promotion and sponsorship. 45 Our finding revealed that positive association between wealth index and cigarette smoking. A study in Serbia demonstrating that richest men were more likely to quit smoking than poorest men. 46 A cross national gender study revealed that smoking is inversely associated with wealth and education among three countries namely Australia, U.S and Korea. 30 In India, the richest people were less likely to consume tobacco than poorer. 47

Study limitations:

This study based from secondary data set taken from PDHS, in which a few limited question asked about smoking and other form of tobacco use to a small proportion of ever married men. The data lacked other important variables like smoking related various kinds of diseases, treatment and prevention, which does not allow establishing temporal relationship on the basis of these findings. This study goal was to only pinpoint the socio demographic factors that might be helpful in anti-tobacco seeking measures and mechanism.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded from this study that those with lower educational attachment, people belong to less develop areas with low socio economic status, increase in age and sufficient lack of media access are more prone to cigarette smoking.

Recommendations:

Potential struggles are mandatory where the low literacy rate and insufficient media coverage particularly in remote areas, so that various direct health harm caused by cigarette smoking can be reduced. Government should completely ban the smoking encouraging advertisement. The availability of these outcomes can be emerging in anti-tobacco management and control.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organzation. Tobacco: fact sheet N 339. [Cited on 2015 Feb 22; 2014]. Available from URL: http://www. who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs339/en/.
- 2. Crofton, J. & Simpson, D. (2002). Tobacco: a global threat, Macmillan.
- Health, UDO. & Services, H. (2014). The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health 17.
- Ahmed HM, Blaha MJ, Nasir K, Jones SR, Rivera JJ, Agatston A, et al. Low-risk lifestyle, coronary calcium, cardiovascular events, and mortality: results from MESA. Am J Epidemiol 2013; 178:12-21.
- Cramer, DW, Harlow B L, Xu H, Fraer C, Barbieri R. Cross-sectional and case-controlled analyses of the association between smoking and early menopause. Maturitas 1995: 22:79-87.
- Kark JD, Lebiush M, Rannon L. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for epidemic a (hlnl) influenza in young men. New Eng | Med 1982; 307:1042-6.
- Glassman AH, Helzer JE, Covey LS, Cottler LB, Stetner F, Tipp JE, et al. Smoking, smoking cessation, and major depression. J Am Med Assoc 1990; 264: 1546-9.
- Kandel DB, Davies M. Adult sequelae of adolescent depressive symptoms. Archives Gen Psychiat 1986;43:255-62.
- Parrott AC. Does cigarette smoking cause stress? American Psychologist 1999; 54:817.
- Centers for Disease Control Prevention. Cigarette smoking among adults--United States, 1992 and changes in the definition of current cigarette smoking. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report 43, 342.
- 11. Zhu BP, Giovino GA, Mowery PD, Eriksen MP. The relationship between cigarette smoking and education revisited: implications for categorizing persons' educational status. Am J Public Health 1996; 86: 1582-9.
- Centers for Disease Control Prevention. (1997). Cigarette smoking among adults--United States, 1995. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report 46, 12-17.
- Escobedo LG, Zhu BP, Giovino GA, Eriksen MP. Educational attainment and racial differences in cigarette smoking. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995; 87: 1552-2.

- 14. Escobedo LG, Anda, RF, Smith PF, Remington P L, Mast EE. Sociodemographic characteristics of cigarette smoking initiation in the United States: implications for smoking prevention policy. J Am Med Assoc 1990 264:1550-5.
- 15. Health, U. D. o. & Services, H. Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health 2012; 3.
- Agresti A. (1996). An introduction to categorical data analysis, 135, Wiley New York.
- Hosmer Jr DW, Lemeshow S. (2004).
 Applied logistic regression, John Wiley & Sons.
- 18. Ahmad K, Jafary F, Jehan I, Hatcher J, Khan AQ, Chaturvedi N, et al. Prevalence and predictors of smoking in Pakistan: results of the National Health Survey of Pakistan. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2005; 12:203-8.
- Nasir K, Rehan N. Epidemiology of cigarette smoking in Pakistan. Addiction 2001; 96:1847-54.
- Ali S, Sathiakumar N, Delzell E. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with tobacco smoking among adult males in rural Sindh, Pakistan. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2006; 37: 1054.
- Barnett JR. Does place of residence matter? Contextual effects and smoking in Christchurch. N Z Med | 2000; 113:433-5.
- Shah SM, Arif AA, Delclos GL, Khan AR, Khan A. Prevalence and correlates of smoking on the roof of the world. Tob Control 2001; 10:e1-e1.
- Hosseinpoor AR, Parker LA, d'Espaignet ET, Chatterji S. Socioeconomic inequality in smoking in low-income and middle-income countries: results from the World Health Survey. PloS one2012; 7: e42843.
- 24. de Looze M, ter Bogt T, Hublet A, Kuntsche E, Richter M, Zsiros E, Godeau E, Vollebergh W. Trends in educational differences in adolescent daily smoking across Europe, 2002–10. Eur J Public Health 2013;ckt022.
- Smet B, Maes L, De Clercq L, Haryanti K, Winarno RD. Determinants of smoking behaviour among adolescents in Semarang, Indonesia. Tob Control 1999; 8: 186-91.
- Ashan H, Underwood P, Atkinson D. Smoking among male teenagers in Dhaka. Bangladesh Prev Med 1998; 27: 70-6.

- Sinha D, Gupta P, Pednekar M. Tobacco use among students in the eight North-eastern states of India. Indian J cancer 2003; 40: 43.
- Rani M, Bonu S, Jha P, Nguyen S, Jamjoum L. Tobacco use in India: prevalence and predictors of smoking and chewing in a national cross sectional household survey. Tob Control 2003; 12: e4-e4.
- Memon A, Moody PM, Sugathan TN, El-Gerges N, Al-Bustan M, Al-Shatti A, Al-Jazzaf H. Epidemiology of smoking among Kuwaiti adults: prevalence, characteristics, and attitudes. Bull World Health Organ 2000; 78: 1306-15.
- French DJ, Jang SN, Tait RJ, Anstey KJ. Cross-national gender differences in the socioeconomic factors associated with smoking in Australia, the United States of America and South Korea. Int J Public Health 2013; 58: 345-353.
- National Bureau of Economic Research. (2006). Education and health: evaluating theories and evidence.
- Koning P, Webbink D, Martin NG. The effect of education on smoking behavior: new evidence from smoking durations of a sample of twins. Empirical Economics 2010, 1-19.
- Government of Pakistan 2001. Ministry of Finance: Economic Survey of Pakistan Islamabad.
- 34. Alam AY, Iqbal A, Mohamud KB, Laporte RE, Ahmed A, Nishtar S. Investigating socio-economic-demographic determinants of tobacco use in Rawalpindi, Pakistan. BMC Public Health 2008;8:50.
- Ahsan H, Underwood P, Atkinson D. Smoking among male teenagers in Dhaka, Bangladesh. Prev Med 1998; 27: 70-6.
- Flynn BS, Worden JK, Bunn JY, Solomon LJ, Ashikaga T, Connolly SW, et al. Mass media interventions to reduce youth smoking prevalence. Am J Prev Med 2010; 39:53-62.
- 37. Nagelhout GE, den Putte BV, Vries HD, Crone MR, Fong GT, Willemsen MC. The influence of newspaper coverage and a media campaign on smokers' support for smoke-free bars and restaurants and on second-hand smoke harm awareness. Findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Netherlands Survey. Tob Control 2011; 21.
- Tichenor PJ, Donohue GA, Olien CN. Mass media flow and differential growth in knowledge. Public Opin Q 1970; 34:159-70.
- Food & Drug Administration, H. Regulations restricting the sale and distribution of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco to

KMUJ 2015, Vol. 7 No. 3

- protect children and adolescents. Final rule. Federal Register2010; 75:13225.
- 40. Dahal S, Maharjan S, Subedi RK, Maharjan J. Role of Media in Provoking Cigarette Smoking among Adolescents in Urban Nepal. Health 2015; 7: 98.
- 41. Viswanath K, Ackerson LK, Sorensen G, Gupta PC. Movies and TV influence tobacco use in India: findings from a national survey. PLoS One2010; 5: e11365.
- 42. Azagba S, Burhoo P, Chaloupka FJ, Fong GT. Effect of cigarette tax increase in combination with mass media campaign on smoking behaviour in Mauritius: findings from the ITC survey. Tob Control. 2015

- Jul;24 Suppl 3:iii71-iii75. doi: 10.1136/ tobaccocontrol-2014-052096. Epub 2015
- 43. Wakefield, MA, Durkin S, Spittal MJ, Siahpush M, Scollo M, Simpson JA, et al. Impact of tobacco control policies and mass media campaigns on monthly adult smoking prevalence. Am J Public Health 2008; 98:1443-50.
- 44. Durkin S, Brennan E, Wakefield M. Mass media campaigns to promote smoking cessation among adults: an integrative review. Tob Control 2012; 21:127-38.
- 45. World Health Organization (2013). WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic,

- 2013: enforcing bans on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship, World Health Organization.
- 46. Djikanovic B, Marinkovic J, Jankovic J, Vujanac V, Simic, S. Gender differences in smoking experience and cessation: do wealth and education matter equally for women and men in Serbia? J Public Health 2011; 33: 31-38.
- 47. Thakur JS, Prinja S, Bhatnagar N, Rana S, Sinha DN, Singh PK. Socioeconomic inequality in the prevalence of smoking and smokeless tobacco use in India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013; 14:6965-9.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

Concept & study design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, final approval of the version JAN:

to be published

MI & AAC: Analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published

SAAZ: Critical revision, drafting the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST Authors declare no conflict of interest

GRANT SUPPORT AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

NIL

KMUJ web address: www.kmuj.kmu.edu.pk Email address: kmuj@kmu.edu.pk