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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVE: To determine the advantages of conscious sedation in spinal anesthesia by comparing Propofol with Midazolam.

METHODS: The study was carried out on 60 patients undergoing various elective surgical procedures under spinal anesthesia. The patients were divided into three groups each containing 20 patients. Group A (n=20) received initial bolus of 30 mg of Propofol intravenously (IV) followed by 10 mg top ups on as-required basis. Group B (n=20) received initial bolus of 2 mg of Midazolam followed by 1 mg increments to maintain the conscious sedation. Group C (n=20) did not receive any conscious sedation (Control). The patients were interviewed through a structured questionnaire before anesthesia and 24 hours after the surgical procedure. Demographic variables were scored using descriptive statistics and results were analyzed using correlation methods.

RESULTS: It was revealed that in patients who were given conscious sedation, 17 patients (85%) from Midazolam group as compared to 12 patients (60%) from Propofol group were not willing to have remained wide awake during the procedure. Similarly 15 patients (75%) from Midazolam group as compared to 10 patients (50%) from Propofol group were very much comfortable being asleep during the procedure. Ten patients (50%) from the group who were not given conscious sedation remained apprehensive and uncomfortable and they very much desired to be sedated during the procedure.

CONCLUSION: Conscious sedation was very effective in spinal anesthesia in alleviating preoperative anxiety and apprehension. Midazolam proved to be a better agent than Propofol for the purpose.
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INTRODUCTION
Conscious sedation is the use of medication to minimally depress the level of consciousness in a patient while allowing the patient to continually and independently maintain a patent airway and respond appropriately to gentle physical stimulation or verbal communication, e.g. “open your eyes”.

Patient arrives and leaves in a condition as close to normal as possible.1 Consciousness is defined as a state of awareness of surroundings and alertness to events.2 Sedation describes a depressed level of consciousness which may vary from light to deep. Conscious sedation is a controlled state of pharmacological depression of consciousness enabling treatment to be carried out and communication is maintained throughout the period of sedation besides maintaining protective reflexes. It is achieved when there is onset of slurred speech. It avoids the adverse psychological and physiological effects of stress. It reduces anxiety in frightened and agitated patients. It provides anterograde amnesia especially after Midazolam and patient is not troubled with unpleasant and frightening memories of their surgical procedure. Conscious sedation is being widely used in various diagnostic,3,4 surgical and therapeutic procedures. Its use in spinal anesthesia is becoming increasingly popular.5 The goals and objectives of conscious sedation are to provide a tranquil patient, free from anxiety with reduced attention, amnesia and retention of verbal communication and cooperation albeit sluggish.6 It provides calming effect and minimizes stress. Despite the established record7 of safety of conscious sedation, problems have occurred as one degree of sedation may progress to another depending upon the dose of the administered drug. These include hypoventilation, apnoea, airway obstruction and cardiopulmonary impairment. Appropriate agents provide safe and effective sedation and ensure greatest margin of safety. Conscious sedation may be produced by administration of various pharmacological agents by several common routes.8-11 In this study intravenous route is adopted and Propofol is compared with Midazolam to determine the advantages of conscious sedation in spinal anesthesia.

METHODS
It was an observational analytical study in which effects of conscious sedation in spinal anesthesia was observed and analyzed by using two different drugs for this purpose, i.e Propofol versus Midazolam. The study was initiated after taking approval from hospital ethical committee.
The study was carried out in 60 patients, above 20 years of age (majority between 40 and 50 years of age) having physical status of American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) i.e. ASA–I (A normal healthy patient) and ASA–II (A patient with mild systemic disease). Both male and female cases (male-42 and female-18) were randomly selected. The cases who were administered spinal anesthesia were restricted to lower abdominal, orthopaedic and perineal elective surgical procedures (Table I).

The patients were divided into three groups which were:

**Group A (n=20)** Patients who were administered Propofol for conscious sedation.

**Group B (n=20)** Patients who were administered Midazolam for conscious sedation.

**Group C (n=20)** This group did not receive any conscious sedation and was treated as a control group.

In group A, the patients were given Lignocaine 40 mg IV before Propofol to avoid pain on injection. Subsequently an initial bolus of 30 mg Propofol was administered IV followed by 10 mg top ups as required.

In group B, the patients were given an initial bolus of 2 mg of Midazolam followed by 1 mg increments to maintain the conscious sedation. The end point of conscious sedation in both groups A and B was the slurring of speech, preservation of eye opening response to verbal command being sedated at the same time.

Absolute calm and tranquility was ensured throughout the surgical procedure. All the patients were reassured and briefed about the procedure of spinal anesthesia and surgery. The patients were clearly informed whether they were going to be sedated or would remain wide awake during the procedure. All the patients were preloaded with one litre of Ringers lactate and given 10 mg of injection Maxolon IV. A local infiltration of 2 ml of 1% plain Lignocaine was given and spinal anesthesia was administered in lying position with 25 gauge spinal needle using 2 ml of 0.75% hyperbaric Bupivacaine in each case. Non invasive blood pressures, O₂ saturations and ECGs were monitored throughout the procedure. A questionnaire was completed for each patient. In this the comments and responses of the patient at the time of preanesthetic assessment were documented followed by another question – answer session 24 hours after the surgical procedure. Patients with extremes of ages, having psychological / emotional disturbances, those who did not have dense spinal block, and those who developed significant hypotension and incidence of vomiting were excluded from the study.

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the data. Moreover, frequencies and percentages of demographic variables were computed, data was analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 17.

**RESULTS**

This study gave us some very interesting results and quite a few important observations. It was revealed that in patients who were given conscious sedation, 17 patients (85%) from Midazolam group as compared to 12 patients (60%) from Propofol group were not willing to have remained wide awake during the procedure. Similarly 15 patients (75%) from Midazolam group as compared to 10 patients (50%) from Propofol group were very much comfortable being asleep during the procedure. Ten patients (50%) from the group who were not given conscious sedation remained apprehensive and uncomfortable and they desired to be sedated during the procedure (Table II, III and IV).

### TABLE I: VARIETY OF SURGICAL PROCEDURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hernia / Hydrocele</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic Surgery</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Tumours and Mass</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal ligation</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal hysterectomy</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal Surgery</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II: GROUP A: PATIENTS GIVEN CONSCIOUS SEDATION WITH PROPOFOL (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very much</th>
<th>Slightly</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>% age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Were you comfortable being asleep during procedure?</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Do you wish that it would have been better if you had remained wide awake during procedure?</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ed recovery, patient is up and about early,
has got an antiemetic effect but the use
of Propofol was quite taxing because top
up doses were required at frequent inter-
vals. One has to be very vigilant and alert
regarding cardiovascular stability, respira-
tory depression due to lower therapeutic
index. It also required controlled cir-
cumstances as compared to Midazolam.
A study conducted by Elvir Lazao OL also
confirms the leading position of Mid-
azolam in conscious sedation, anesthesia
and intensive care. Similar results were
achieved in another study carried out by
Bagchi D and coworkers when Propofol
sedation for outpatient gastrointestinal
endoscopy was compared with Midazol-
am in 40 patients. It was concluded that
although Propofol provided more rapid
recovery as compared to Midazolam but
also associated with pain on injection, a
short amnesic span and reduced patient
acceptance. In another study it was
shown that Midazolam was better at
providing amnesia than Propofol at the
same level of sedation.
Propofol is associated with a more
rapid onset of sedation and quick re-
covery than Midazolam. Midazolam,
however is associated with a higher
degree of amnesia, low incidence of
venous complications and better patient
acceptability than Propofol. According
to the study by Grendelmeier P the
advantages of Propofol over Midazolam
were the ease with which the degree
of sedation could be altered and quick
recovery. Its disadvantages were pain
on injection, increased talkativeness, the
extra equipment needed and the cost.
These results are also in agreement with
our study. A study by Lordan JT again
concluded that continuous infusion of
Propofol and Midazolam for sedation
in regional anaesthesia were equivalent
with respect to efficacy and safety. According to another study both drugs
were equally effective sedative agents.

While going through the above
mentioned studies it is evident that results
of our study are in agreement with
majority of the investigations but also
differ from quite a few. The reason for
these differences in results may partially
be attributed to the dose and technique
of administration. In addition, medica-
tion requirements may be affected by
various pharmacodynamic and pharma-
cogenetic differences among individuals.
Clinically relevant factors including con-
comitant medication, age, ASA physical
status and drug interaction can alter
drug’s pharmacokinetic properties and
influence the outcome of results.

CONCLUSION
Conscious sedation not only helped
in smooth administration of spinal and
with no degree of apprehension but
also produced conducive circumstances
for surgical procedure itself. Midazolam
proved to be a better agent than Propofol
for conscious sedation.
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